

VECTOR

A MONTHLY JOURNAL OF ENTERPRISE CONNECTIVITY AND INNOVATION

VOLUME 1

ISSUE 1

AUGUST 2026

INSIDE THIS ISSUE

● **MISSION-CRITICAL CONNECTIVITY**
CEO'S CORNER

● **THE DIGITAL CONSTRUCTION SITE**
Built on Reliable Connectivity

◆ **ENTERPRISE INTERNET VS. CONSUMER INTERNET**
Know the Difference

**INSIGHTS FOR
A CONNECTED WORLD**



IN THIS ISSUE

IDEAS. INNOVATION. CONNECTIVITY.

04

FROM THE CEO

Why Connectivity Is Becoming Mission-Critical

06

EDITOR'S NOTE

07

FEATURE

From Truck Rolls to Real Time

12

TECHNOLOGY

Primary Internet vs. Failover:
Why Every Business Needs Both

10

ENGINEERING

What Makes Enterprise Internet
Different from Consumer Internet?

11

TITANS NETWORK SPOTLIGHT

How TITANS Network Helps
Businesses Stay Connected

12

THIS MONTH IN CONNECTIVITY

Industry News & Trends



ABOUT VECTOR

VECTOR is a monthly journal published by Global Telecom Engineering and TITANS Network. We explore the engineering, technologies, and ideas driving the future of enterprise connectivity, highlighting innovation, customer success, and the people building tomorrow's communications infrastructure.



“ Technology is most powerful
when it enables people to do
extraordinary things. “

IN THIS ISSUE (CONTINUED)

12

CUSTOMER STORY

12

PRODUCT AND COMPANY NEWS

12

TECH TIP OF THE MONTH

CEO'S CORNER

WHY CONNECTIVITY IS BECOMING MISSION CRITICAL

There was a time when connectivity was viewed simply as another business utility. Like electricity or water, it was expected to be there but rarely thought about unless something stopped working. Today, connectivity has evolved from a supporting utility into a strategic business asset.

Connectivity has become the invisible foundation of modern business. Every transaction, every video conference, every cloud application, every shipment, every construction project, every healthcare consultation, and every customer interaction depends on reliable, secure, and resilient communications.

When connectivity fails, business slows - or stops altogether. This transformation has accelerated over the past decade. Organizations are embracing cloud computing, artificial intelligence, connected devices, remote operations, and real-time analytics at an unprecedented pace. These technologies promise greater efficiency and new opportunities, but they also introduce a new reality: every digital innovation depends on a network that performs consistently under all conditions.

"Every digital innovation depends on a network that performs consistently under all conditions."

At Global Telecom Engineering and TITANS Network, we often remind ourselves that our customers are not purchasing internet service or networking equipment simply for the sake of technology. They are investing in operational resilience and business continuity. Whether supporting a construction site, a retail location, a logistics hub, or a remote office, our responsibility is to ensure that people can continue working with confidence, regardless of the challenges around them.





The expectations placed on enterprise connectivity have changed dramatically. Businesses no longer ask whether they need connectivity; they ask whether their network is resilient enough to support the future. They expect secure communications, rapid deployment, intelligent failover, and solutions that can adapt as their operations grow and evolve. Reliability is no longer a competitive advantage - it has become the minimum standard.

This shift is particularly evident in industries where every minute of downtime carries real consequences. Construction projects depend on continuous access to cloud-based plans and project management platforms. Retail operations rely on uninterrupted payment processing and inventory systems. Healthcare providers require secure communications that support patient care. Manufacturers depend on connected equipment and real-time operational data. Across every sector, connectivity has become inseparable from productivity.

Technology itself continues to evolve rapidly, but our mission remains remarkably consistent. We engineer solutions that help organizations stay connected, reduce complexity, and prepare for what comes next. That philosophy extends beyond the products we design and influences how we approach engineering, customer relationships, partnerships, and innovation.

Innovation is not measured by the number of technologies we introduce, but by the problems we solve. The best engineering is often invisible. When networks remain operational during disruptions, when critical applications continue without interruption, and when organizations can focus on their mission instead of their infrastructure, technology has fulfilled its purpose.

That commitment to practical innovation is the foundation of both Global Telecom Engineering and TITANS Network. It is also the spirit behind VECTOR. We created this publication as a forum for engineers, technology leaders, and industry experts to examine the ideas, technologies, and challenges shaping the future of enterprise connectivity. Our goal is not simply to report on technological change, but to contribute meaningfully to the conversations that will define the next generation of communications.

As you read this inaugural issue, I hope you find perspectives that challenge assumptions, inspire new ideas, and reinforce a simple principle that guides everything we build: connectivity is no longer simply infrastructure - it is strategic infrastructure. Organizations that recognize this shift and invest accordingly will be the ones best positioned to innovate, compete, and grow in an increasingly connected world.

Thank you for joining us as we begin this journey. We look forward to exploring the future of connectivity together.

**AHMAD MALKAWI**

Chief Executive Officer

Global Telecom Engineering | TITANS Network

EDITOR'S NOTE

Every Connection Has a Story

Every day, we connect, communicate, work, and create through technologies whose complexity remains largely invisible to us.

Yet behind every reliable network is a much larger story. It is the story of engineers solving complex problems, businesses adapting to new challenges, and technologies evolving to keep people, projects, and ideas connected. It is also the story of innovation - not innovation for its own sake, but innovation that enables organizations to work more efficiently, respond more quickly, and build with greater confidence.

That is the inspiration behind VECTOR.

This journal was not created to promote products or celebrate technology for its own sake. Instead, it was created to explore the ideas, engineering, partnerships, and real-world experiences shaping the future of enterprise connectivity. Technology is changing rapidly, but meaningful progress has always depended on something more enduring: curiosity, collaboration, and the willingness to solve difficult problems.

Each issue of VECTOR will examine the technologies transforming industries while also highlighting the people behind those innovations. We will explore emerging trends, engineering perspectives, customer success stories, practical applications, and the challenges organizations face as connectivity becomes increasingly mission-critical. Our goal is simple: to create a publication that informs, encourages thoughtful discussion, and occasionally inspires a new way of looking at familiar challenges.

In this inaugural issue, we explore the ideas, innovations, and people shaping the future of connectivity.

Whether you are an engineer, a customer, a partner, or simply someone interested in the technologies shaping our connected world, I hope you find something in these pages that challenges an assumption, sparks an idea, or starts a conversation.

I look forward to exploring the future of connectivity with you - one issue, one innovation, and one conversation at a time.

“

Behind every reliable network is a much larger story.



Andrada Costoiu

Andrada Costoiu

Editor

VECTOR

FROM TRUCK ROLLS TO REAL TIME

How wireless connectivity turns the manual steps of cell-site and fiber construction into a real-time, digital workflow

BY MICHAEL IRIZARRY

Chief Technology Officer

Global Telecom Engineering | TITANS Network



THE PROBLEM

Strip away the marketing, and cell-site and fiber construction are the same shape: a chain of site visits, most of them single-discipline, most of them documented on paper and a phone camera. A crew shows up, does the work, writes it down, and drives away. The mistake — a few degrees of azimuth, a missing serial-number photo, a splice that isn't tied to a map — surfaces days or weeks later, at close-out or during an outage. Fixing it means sending someone back. That return trip is the tax the whole industry pays, and closing the gap that creates it is the entire point of digitizing the work. The catch is that every digital tool depends on the site being connected in real time, which is exactly what tends to be missing. Here is the problem in each trade, and then the fix.

ARRIVE → DOCUMENT → ERROR → RETURN



Example 1

Cell-site construction

It starts in the office, where a site-acquisition specialist hunts a parcel and negotiates a lease that lives in a pile of emails and PDFs. Then the site walk: an RF engineer, a structural surveyor, and a civil lead visit — often on separate trips — and capture the tower by hand. Azimuth off a magnetic compass, downtilt off an inclinometer, heights off a laser rangefinder, a photo panorama shot every 30 degrees, all written onto forms, and a loose camera roll that someone transcribes later. That hand-measured survey feeds design and structural analysis, where engineers work from 2D drawings that may not match the steel, and a structural check can take up to three weeks, followed by zoning and permitting that can run up to two years. Civil crews then pour the foundation and stand the tower, logging concrete tickets and grounding checks on paper while the project manager learns real status by phone. During equipment installation, tower hands set each antenna's azimuth, tilt and plumb by compass and eye — a few degrees off changes coverage or causes interference, but nobody knows yet. Crews then run sweeps, PIM and OTDR and hand the site to the carrier's NOC to bring on air. At close-out, the crew assembles a carrier-prescribed package of dozens of photos per sector plus serial numbers and test files; any missing shot or wrong label gets it rejected, which means another climb. The errors made on the tower are discovered after the crew is gone.



Example 2

Fiber / outside-plant (OSP) construction

Fiber is the same story, mostly underground, where a mistake is invisible until something breaks. It begins with high-level design — and when three to five people hand-draw it, the plans disagree, and the field pays for it. Detailed design turns that into prints that field discoveries force into redraws. Then permitting, make-ready, and 811 locates: thousands of permits by jurisdiction, pole loading analysis, joint-use coordination, and paint-and-flag utility marks before anyone digs. On the walkout, crews collect pole data with laser and GPS on paper sheets that get re-keyed in the office.

During construction, crews arrive with plans that no longer match; cable placement logs reel IDs and footage on paper; splicing is recorded on a paper matrix whose events aren't tied to a map, so the network has to be rediscovered later. Testing means bidirectional OTDR traces compiled by hand, and the as-built is redlined on paper and transcribed into the GIS, where traditional QA can only spot-check a fraction of the work before turn-up stalls because the records don't match the plant. In both trades the pattern is identical: the work is captured on paper at the point of work, and the errors it hides are found far downstream, when they are expensive to fix.

THE FACTS BEHIND IT

This is not a rounding error; it is a volume business with a costly defect rate. There were 447,605 cell sites and 166,264 small cells in service at the end of 2024, on about \$29 billion of carrier capex that year (CTIA, 2025), and fiber has now passed 88 million U.S. homes, pushed by a \$42.45 billion federal build-out, with a record 10.3 million homes passed in 2024 alone. Multiply any per-site inefficiency across that base — tens of thousands of near-identical builds a year — and a small defect rate becomes a very large number.

And the manual process is measurably lossy. On the wireless side, VIAVI reports first-time install failure rates as high as 30% (VIAVI, 2026), with each failure resulting in a repeat visit. On the fiber side, excavation damage is getting worse, not better: the Common Ground Alliance's 2024 DIRT report logged 196,977 damage reports and a damage index that rose from 94.0 to 96.7 year over year, with failure to notify 811 the single biggest root cause at about a quarter of all events. And the data captured in the field is often wrong from the start — standalone GPS drifts 3–5 meters (Emlid, 2024), enough to put a splice in the wrong yard, while survey-grade RTK brings it to a few centimeters.

The common thread is timing: a variance caught on the tower or in the trench is a five-minute correction, but the same variance caught at close-out, at integration, or after backfill is a truck roll, a re-climb, or out-of-warranty rework. Late-caught errors, unseen strikes, drifting records — each is a failure that real-time, connected capture is built to prevent.



447,605

CELL SITES

in service at the end of 2024¹



166,264

SMALL CELLS

in service at the end of 2024¹



88 MILLION

U.S. HOMES PASSED
BY FIBER

with \$42.45B federal build-out²



30%

FIRST-TIME INSTALL
FAILURE RATES
AS HIGH AS³



196,977

DAMAGE REPORTS

in 2024; damage index rose
from 94.0 to 96.7⁴



3–5 METERS

STANDALONE GPS DRIFT

vs. a few centimeters
with survey-grade RTK⁵



1. CTIA. (2025). 2025 CTIA Survey Summary and Background.
2. Fiber Broadband Association. (2025, April 17). U.S. home fiber
deployments top 88M homes passed.
3. VIAVI Solutions. (2026). Cell site installation and commissioning solutions.
4. Common Ground Alliance. (2025). 2024 DIRT Report.
5. Emlid. (2024). GNSS vs GPS: What is the difference and accuracy?

THE SOLUTION

The fix is to architect the site from the network out and move the moment of verification from the office, days later, to the field, right now: capture the work once, check it against the design or standard on the spot, and correct it before the crew leaves. Concretely, that is a small, layered stack in each trade — and none of it is theoretical; every tool named below ships and is in commercial use today. What they share is a single design principle: rather than documenting the work for someone to check later, they check it as it happens.

On the tower

A mobile close-out platform (Sitetracker, Tarantula) is the backbone: it pushes the carrier's checklist to the crew and won't let a package close until every required photo and form is captured, so gaps surface before demob. Drone reality capture with automated as-built-versus-design analysis (Optelos, Bentley, vHive) then confirms the finished tower matches the design from the desk. Where a re-climb is unaffordable, on-tower AR validation ([vHive, 2025](#)) overlays the design on a live drone view and returns a per-element pass/fail while the crew is still up the tower. Behind them, an engineering digital twin (Bentley OpenTower iQ, 2024) re-analyzes each 5G reload against true geometry, and guided test automation (VIAVI) standardizes commissioning so those 30% first-install failures stop driving repeat visits. Adopted together, they turn close-out from a hopeful binder audit into a verified, one-visit hand-off.



In the trench

The anchor is a GIS single source of truth with an offline-capable mobile app (IQGeo, VETRO, Esri Field Maps) paired with RTK, so conduit, closures and splices are recorded to a few centimeters at the point of work and the as-built is born digital instead of reconstructed from redlines. Automated network design (Comsof, Biarri, Render) kills the plan-inconsistency upstream — Render reports one operator that expects to scale from 150 to 500 miles a year with the same crews (2024). GPS-mapped digital locates (ProStar) replace wash-away paint with survey-grade, shareable records to protect the dig; single-connection bidirectional OTDR (VIAVI FiberComplete PRO) auto-builds the acceptance package with up to 80% less certification time; and computer-vision QA (IQGeo / Deepomatic) scores every install photo against the standard — a German operator is deploying it now with checks that run on-device, offline ([IQGeo, 2026](#)). Each tool addresses a different phase, but they do the same thing: they move the record from paper in the office to a validated digital capture at the point of work, so the buried plant is documented correctly the first time — when correction is cheap — instead of being rediscovered during an outage.

The enabler: getting the site connected

None of this runs without connectivity, and a greenfield tower compound or fiber staging yard rarely has a wired drop — trenching fiber for a few weeks of work makes no sense. Fixed Wireless Access solves it: it turns a mid-band 5G signal, already reaching about 90% of the world's population over existing towers, into site broadband through one piece of self-install customer premises equipment.



A device like Global Telecom's Titan 5100 (2024) — a 5G-NR router that mounts to a window, pole, or wall, is IP67-rated, and supports dual-SIM/eSIM, Wi-Fi 6, and zero-touch provisioning — gives a non-technician a working site network in hours instead of the weeks a wired drop demands. The CPE is increasingly built to self-install and provision remotely, which is why FWA has moved from a consumer story to a practical way to light up any dispersed site fast. Some 28 million FWA units shipped in 2024 (GSA, 2025), and FWA is on track for 350 million connections by 2030. Where one CPE isn't enough, private 5G or CBRS covers a larger footprint, low-earth-orbit satellite provides backhaul, and an on-site edge node handles latency-critical work like the AR gate. There is even a loop worth naming: FWA capacity is gated by mid-band spectrum — analysts peg the U.S. big three at room for roughly 32 million FWA subscribers (New Street via Fierce, 2025) — so the very cell sites this brief is about building are what make connectivity possible.

Sequence it in that order, and don't buy it all at once. Connectivity comes first, as a budgeted deliverable — an FWA gateway on day one gives the crew the link everything else rides on. Then, the record-keeping backbone, the close-out platform on the tower side, and the mobile GIS on the fiber side, because that captures the work digitally at the source. Then the verification layer — reality capture and AR for towers, computer-vision QA, and OTDR automation for fiber — where truck-roll and rework costs bite hardest. Each layer inherits the layer beneath it, and all layers inherit the network. Provision it first, and the stack pays for itself; leave it to chance, and the best tools in the industry keep riding back out on the next truck.

References

Bentley Systems. (2024). New study verifies the accuracy of OpenTower iQ digital twin data.

Botygina, N. (2024). GNSS vs. GPS: What's the real difference? Emlid.

Common Ground Alliance. (2025). 2024 DIRT Report.

CTIA. (2025). Summary of CTIA's annual wireless industry survey.

Ericsson. (2025). Redefining 5G network deployment with Ericsson Site Digital Twin.

Esri. (n.d.). ArcGIS Field Maps.

Global mobile Suppliers Association. (2025). FWA-CPE Vendor Survey: September 2025.

Global Telecom Engineering. (n.d.). TITAN 5100 5G-NR Window Mount.

Hardesty, L. (2024). Big U.S. wireless operators have capacity for 16 million FWA subscribers. Fierce Network.

IQGeo. (2023). Network Manager Telecom.

IQGeo. (2026). IQGeo signs agreement with German telco UGG to deploy AI-powered field QA for fiber rollouts.

Mohney, D. (2025). U.S. home fiber deployments top 88M homes passed. Fiber Broadband Association.

Optelos. (n.d.). Telecom inspection data management.

Pomarnacki, R., Bručas, D., Jačionis, T., & Plonis, D. (2025). Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for the Monitoring of Telecommunication Towers from an Engineering Approach. *Drones*, 9(4), 308.

ProStar. (2025). ProStar announces global commercial strategy with Radiodetection to deliver precision utility mapping.

Render Networks. (2024). Home Telecom boosts fiber expansion efficiency with Render.

Sestras, P., Roșca, S., Bilașco, Ș., Șoimoșan, T. M., & Nedevschi, S. (2023). The use of budget UAV systems and GIS spatial analysis in cadastral and construction surveying for building planning. *Frontiers in Built Environment*, 9, 1206947.

vHive. (2025). On-site validation: Real-time accuracy arrives at the tower site.

VIAVI Solutions. (n.d.). Cell site installation and commissioning.

VIAVI Solutions. (n.d.). FiberComplete PRO: Applications for bi-directional fiber testing.



TECHNOLOGY

PRIMARY INTERNET VS. FAILOVER: WHY EVERY BUSINESS NEEDS BOTH

BY NAROTHUM SAXENA

VP of Emerging Technologies

Global Telecom Engineering | TITANS Network

For most businesses, the internet connection is invisible right up until it isn't. Point-of-sale systems freeze, VoIP calls drop, cloud applications stop syncing, and a workday that seemed ordinary suddenly grinds to a halt. The financial impact of that moment is larger than most owners assume — and it's why a growing number of businesses are treating internet resilience the same way they treat backup power or data backups: as a baseline requirement, not an optional upgrade.



The Real Cost of Going Offline

Downtime estimates vary widely depending on company size and industry, but every credible source points in the same direction: it's expensive. Industry research compiled by Sherweb puts small-business downtime costs somewhere between \$127 and \$427 per minute, meaning a single three-hour outage can run anywhere from roughly \$22,500 to \$77,000 ([Business.com](#)). At the enterprise end, the Splunk/Cisco "Hidden Costs of Downtime" report puts the average cost at around \$15,000 per minute, with unplanned outages costing the Global 2000 a combined \$600 billion a year ([Gatling](#)). Even older, more conservative Gartner figures — often cited at \$5,600 per minute — still work out to well over \$300,000 for a single hour ([Atlassian](#)).

Those numbers aren't just about lost sales. They include idle payroll, missed customer commitments, and the slower-moving damage of reputation and customer churn. For a small consulting firm billing \$150 an hour with five people on staff, an hour of downtime can mean \$750 in lost billable time before a single client complaint comes in ([Business.com](#)).

Why a Single Connection Is a Single Point of Failure

A primary connection — typically fiber, cable, or DSL — is usually the fastest and most cost-effective way to move data in and out of a business. But it shares a common weakness with any single line: it runs through one physical path. A backhoe hitting a buried cable, a local outage at the provider, or a weather event can take the entire connection down at once, and there's no way to route around the failure because there's nothing to route to.

This is the core argument for failover: a second, independent connection that a business's network can switch to automatically when the primary line drops, so operations continue with little or no interruption.

How Fixed Wireless Access Fills That Role

Increasingly, that second connection is Fixed Wireless Access (FWA) delivered through a piece of Customer Premises Equipment, or CPE. Rather than depending on buried cable, FWA connects a business directly to a cellular base station over licensed 4G LTE or 5G spectrum. A receiver — mounted indoors, outdoors, or on a rooftop — picks up the signal and converts it into standard Wi-Fi or Ethernet connectivity that the business's existing network can use.

Because it doesn't rely on the same trenches, poles, or exchange equipment as a wired line, FWA gives a business a genuinely independent path to the internet. Modern business-grade FWA CPE typically supports dual-SIM or multi-carrier setups, so if one cellular network is congested or down in an area, the device can shift to another. Many units are also designed for fast, largely self-service installation — a meaningful advantage over wired backup circuits, which can take weeks to provision.

Equipment vendors in this space, including Global Telecom Engineering, build CPE specifically around this use case. The company's TITAN 5100, for example, is marketed as a 5G fixed-wireless router with automatic, dual-SIM failover and industrial-grade construction intended for continuous, unattended operation (Global Telecom Engineering). Global Telecom also pairs its hardware with an Intent-Based Connectivity Optimization platform that continuously steers connected devices toward the strongest, least-congested cell sector available, which is a separate layer from carrier-level failover but works alongside it to keep performance consistent ([Global Telecom Engineering](#)).

One example of this approach packaged as a dedicated service is TITANS Network, a connectivity brand powered by Global Telecom Engineering. In February 2026, the company announced enhanced FWA failover capabilities aimed at business and residential customers, describing a system that continuously monitors the primary connection and automatically switches to a backup path during outages, severe weather, or network disruptions, without requiring manual intervention ([Business Wire](#)). It's a useful illustration of what a purpose-built failover offering looks like in practice, though businesses evaluating any provider should confirm current specifications, coverage, and pricing directly, since offerings in this space change quickly.



Primary and Failover, Working Together

The two connections aren't competitors — they're a system. The primary line, usually fiber or cable, carries the bulk of everyday traffic because it typically offers the best price for high, sustained bandwidth. The failover connection sits in reserve, watched by a router or SD-WAN device that continuously checks the primary line's health. The moment it detects an outage, it reroutes traffic to the FWA connection automatically, ideally without staff noticing anything beyond a brief blip.

This arrangement matters most for the systems a business genuinely cannot afford to lose even briefly: card payment processing, VoIP phone lines, security systems, cloud-based inventory and scheduling tools, and remote access for staff. For a retailer, that might mean transactions continue to clear during a fiber outage. For a healthcare office, it might mean patient records are accessible. For a logistics operation, it might mean drivers and dispatchers stay connected during a delivery window that can't slip.

The Bottom Line

Connectivity has quietly become as foundational to running a business as electricity or water – and, like those utilities, it benefits from redundancy. A wired primary connection paired with a wireless failover path, delivered through FWA CPE, gives businesses a practical, increasingly affordable way to make sure a single point of failure doesn't become a full-day (or full-cost) outage.



References

Atlassian. (n.d.). Calculating the cost of downtime. Atlassian. atlassian.com/incident-management/kpis/cost-of-downtime

Business.com. (2026, April 28). The real cost of internet downtime for small businesses. business.com/articles/cost-of-business-internet-downtime

Chen, C., Kedem, O., da Silva, C. R. C. M., & Cordeiro, C. (2019). Millimeter-wave fixed wireless access using IEEE 802.11ay. This technical paper is particularly useful for supporting the article's explanation of FWA as a high-performance alternative or complement to conventional fixed access.

Du, J., Chizhik, D., Feick, R., Castro, G., Rodriguez, M., & Valenzuela, R. A. (2018). Suburban residential building penetration loss at 28 GHz for fixed wireless access. This study is useful for the discussion of indoor/outdoor CPE and the radio link between fixed CPE and the base station.

Global Telecom Engineering. (n.d.). Fixed wireless and IoT solutions. glob-tel.com

Global Telecom Engineering. (n.d.). TITAN 5100. glob-tel.com/titan-5100

Global Telecom Engineering. (n.d.). TITAN 5100 product sheet. TITAN 5100 product sheet

Oxford Economics & Splunk. (2024). The hidden costs of downtime: The \$400B problem facing the Global 2000. Oxford Economics. Oxford Economics report

Sun, C., & Radenkovic, M. (2024). An investigation of software defined wide area networking (SD-WAN) for optimizing multi-site enterprise networks. This is a useful technical source for your section describing centralized WAN management, multiple connectivity paths, and automated provisioning.

TITANS Network. (2026, February 3). TITANS Network launches advanced fixed wireless failover solution for always-on connectivity [Press release]. Business Wire. Business Wire announcement